

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,035	08/21/2003	Michael E. Ring	CRD 01482 7356		
75	990 07/15/2005	•	EXAMINER		
JAMES RAY & ASSOCIATES			BURCH, MELODY M		
2640 Pitcairn Road Monroeville, PA 15146			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		
		DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/645,035	RING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Melody M. Burch	3683		

	Melody M. Burch	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 7/5/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	-li	£1					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explored a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will not be entered t	oecause				
(a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \square They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) \boxtimes They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>see number 11</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4		•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21 (as renumbered)</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•	• • • • • •					
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)					

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): upon entry, the amendment appears to overcome the claim objections as well as the 112 rejections.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Ring fails to show a substantially horizontally disposed plate like member which is connected to the first substantially vertically disposed plate like member. Examiner maintains that Ring shows a substantially horizontally disposed plate like member shown in the area of the lead line of number 84 connected to a first substantially vertically disposed plate like member shown in the area of the lead line of 81. Applicant further argues that the horizontally disposed plate like member connected to the vertically disposed plate like member adjacent a bottom edge thereof is not found in Ring. Again, Ring clearly shows the vertically disposed plate like member being connected to the horizontal one adjacent a bottom edge shown in the area of the lead line of number 76 (the right portion of which). Finally, Examiner notes that the addition of the "directly connected" limitation in claim 6, for example, and the addition of the limitation of the the air bag spring being exposed in claim 19 raise new issues that require further consideration and search.

Melody M. Buch 7/13/05